**GSC Committee Meeting Form**

**Instructions:** Please complete this form and send it to the GSC president and web master/VP of communication no later than 48 hours after you attend any committee meetings or other meetings on campus where you represent GSC. This form will be made public on the GSC website for graduate students to review.

**Name (GSC members who attended this meeting, bold name of individual filling out report): Trevor Harris**

**Name of meeting/committee: ­­­­­ASNMSU Elections Board Meeting**

**Meeting date: 4/4/2017**

**Start time: 7:00 PM**

**End time: 9:05 PM**

**Brief summary of committee purpose:**

**Committee oversees ASNMSU Elections to make sure that no violations are committed, the election process runs properly, and that any violations are investigated and that proper penalties be brought upon guilty parties.**

**Meeting Summary/Main points of interest (include a bullet point list of any announcements for upcoming events, topics discussed, changes made, and relevant points here):**

4 campaign violations were investigated over 3 students campaigning for ASNMSU elected positions.

1st candidate violated multiple election policies, and stated that they read the rules and defended their violations by saying that those witnessing the violations should have notified the candidate. Discussion on the candidate involved the candidate’s knowledge of violating the rules after reading the rules, and that the candidate accept the responsibility of the violations committed. A vote was decided on the candidate and will be released by the Chief Elections Officer.

2nd candidate violated “inducing” votes indirectly by promoting students in classes to vote. Student did not know that showing ASNMSU items and then asking students to go vote would end up in a violation. Discussion included setting precedence for anyone who may run unopposed in the future. A vote was decided on the candidate and will be released by the Chief Elections Officer.

3rd candidate violated election code and NMSU policy regarding putting up signs and digital signage on campus. Candidate reached out on both violations to the Chief Elections Officer to see if they were in bounds to put up the signage. Miscommunication between Chief Elections Officer and candidate, as well as the candidate not waiting for Campus Activities to get back with them ultimately led to both violations. Discussion included the breakdown in communication and the events that transpired over the course of the candidate’s correspondence with both the Chief Elections Officer and Campus Activities. A vote was decided on the candidate and will be released by the Chief elections Officer.